FILED

AUG 03 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARIA GUADALUPE SALAZAR DE TAMAYO, Petitioner, v. ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 07-73572 Agency No. A098-829-547 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 29, 2009[**]

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Maria Guadalupe Salazar De Tamayo, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. Our jurisdiction is governed by

---

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

RB/Research

8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion by denying Salazar De Tamayo's motion to reopen because the BIA considered the evidence she submitted and acted within its broad discretion in determining that the evidence was insufficient to warrant reopening. *See Singh v. INS*, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002) (BIA's denial of a motion to reopen shall be reversed only if it is "arbitrary, irrational or contrary to law.").

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.