

JUL 31 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>MYUNG JUN SON; KYUNG HEE SON; BEOM IL SON; YOON HEE SON,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioners,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>

No. 06-72986

Agency Nos. A072-983-943
A072-983-944
A072-983-945
A096-301-083

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 29, 2009**

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Myung Jun Son and his wife, son, and daughter-in law, natives and citizens of South Korea, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's order of removal. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252, and we deny the petition.

We reject petitioners' contention that the government failed to establish removability by clear and convincing evidence, because petitioners conceded removability. *See Shin v. Mukasey*, 547 F.3d 1019, 1024 (9th Cir. 2008).

We also reject Myung Jun Son, Kyung Hee Son, and Beom Il Son's contention that the government should be equitably estopped from ordering their removal. Although a government employee, Leland Sustaire, issued their fraudulent alien registration cards, the record shows Myung Jun Son was not "ignorant of the true facts" when he procured the cards, *id.* at 1025, and "[i]n any event, estoppel against the government is unavailable where petitioners have not lost any rights to which they were entitled." *Sulit v. Schiltgen*, 213 F.3d 449, 454 (9th Cir. 2000).

Finally, we find no defects amounting to a due process violation. *See Shin*, 547 F.3d at 1024-25; *Hong v. Mukasey*, 518 F.3d 1030, 1035-36 (9th Cir. 2008).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.