

APR 27 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DOROTHY OPERHALL,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF  
ECONOMIC SECURITY; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 08-15484

D.C. No. 2:07-CV-01942-NVW

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Neil V. Wake, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 13, 2009\*\*

Before: GRABER, GOULD, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Dorothy Operhall appeals pro se from the district court's judgment  
dismissing for lack of subject matter jurisdiction her civil rights action seeking

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

relief from state court decisions in juvenile dependency proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Noel v. Hall*, 341 F.3d 1148, 1154 (9th Cir. 2003), and we affirm.

The district court properly concluded that the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine barred Operhall's action because the action was a "de facto appeal" of state court decisions, and raised constitutional claims that were "inextricably intertwined" with those prior state court decisions. See *Reusser v. Wachovia Bank, N.A.*, 525 F.3d 855, 859 (9th Cir. 2008) (explaining the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine).

We grant Arizona Department of Economic Security's motion for judicial notice. We deny Operhall's request for judicial notice and Jeff Zurbriggen's "Motion for Damages and Double Costs."

**AFFIRMED.**