

APR 24 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ANNABELLE FESTEJO-SALAZAR, aka
Anabelle Nazareno,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73201

Agency No. A077-133-875

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 13, 2009**

Before: GRABER, GOULD, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Annabelle Festejo-Salazar, a native and citizen of the Philippines, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing her appeal from

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

an immigration judge's removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law, *Hughes v. Ashcroft*, 255 F.3d 752, 755 (9th Cir. 2001), and we deny the petition for review.

The agency did not err in concluding that Festejo-Salazar is removable under 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(3)(D) (“Any alien who falsely represents, or has falsely represented, himself to be a citizen of the United States for any purpose or benefit under this chapter (including section 1324a of this title) or any Federal or State law is deportable.”).

Festejo-Salazar's contentions that she is not removable under § 1227(a)(3)(D) because she is female, and because she recanted her citizenship claim, are unpersuasive.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.