FILED

APR 24 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FNU SADIKIN, Petitioner, v. ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 06-71661 Agency No. A097-863-595 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 13, 2009[**]

Before: GRABER, GOULD, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Fnu Sadikin, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order summarily affirming an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his application for asylum and withholding of removal.

---

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1015 (9th Cir. 2003), treating Sadikin's testimony as credible, *Kalubi v. Ashcroft*, 364 F.3d 1134, 1138-39 (9th Cir. 2004). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's finding that Sadikin's experiences of harassment, discrimination, and harm to property during general civil strife did not rise to the level of persecution. *See Hoxha v. Ashcroft*, 319 F.3d 1179, 1182 (9th Cir. 2003). In addition, Sadikin failed to demonstrate a well-founded fear of future persecution because, although he is a member of a disfavored group, he did not demonstrate the requisite individualized risk of persecution. *See Lolong v. Gonzales*, 484 F.3d 1173, 1180-81 (9th Cir. 2007) (en banc). Accordingly, Sadikin's asylum claim fails.

Because Sadikin failed to demonstrate eligibility for asylum, it follows that he did not satisfy the more stringent standard for withholding of removal. *See Zehatye v. Gonzales*, 453 F.3d 1182, 1190 (9th Cir. 2006).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.