

MAR 02 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARIA CONCEPCION DUENAS-  
CERVANTES,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-70509

Agency No. A074-392-730

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 18, 2009\*\*

Before: BEEZER, FERNANDEZ, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Maria Concepcion Duenas-Cervantes, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order summarily affirming an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision denying her motion to continue.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to continue, *Barapind v. Reno*, 225 F.3d 1100, 1113 (9th Cir. 2000), and we deny the petition for review.

The IJ did not abuse his discretion in denying a continuance where Duenas-Cervantes did not demonstrate good cause. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.29 (an IJ may grant a motion for continuance for good cause shown); *see also Landin-Zavala v. Gonzales*, 488 F.3d 1150, 1153 (9th Cir. 2007) (an executed order of exclusion and subsequent deportation terminates continuous physical presence).

It follows that the IJ did not violate due process by denying Duenas-Cervantes a continuance. *See Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error for a due process violation).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**