

MAR 02 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UPJEET KAUR; et al.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioners,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
--

Nos. 05-71717
05-74545

Agency Nos. A075-256-461
A075-256-462
A075-256-463

MEMORANDUM *

On Petitions for Review of Orders of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 18, 2009**

Before: BEEZER, FERNANDEZ, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Upjeet Kaur, Harjeet Singh, and Gurmeet Kaur, natives and citizens of India, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") orders dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's removal order and denying

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

their motion to reconsider. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider, *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002), and we deny the petitions for review.

Petitioners have waived any challenge to the BIA's February 24, 2005 order by failing to raise any contentions regarding it. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 1996).

The BIA acted within its discretion in denying petitioners' motion to reconsider because the motion failed to identify any error of fact or law in the BIA's February 24, 2005 order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1).

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW DENIED.