FILED

FEB 13 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FEI CHEN, Petitioner, v. ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 07-74409 Agency No. A099-534-410 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 11, 2009[**]
Honolulu, Hawaii

Before: REINHARDT, BRUNETTI and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Fei Chen, a native and citizen of China, petitions this Court for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA") decision affirming an Immigration Judge's ("IJ") denial of his application for asylum and withholding of relief from removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252 and deny the petition. Where, as here,

---

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

the BIA affirms the decision of the IJ and cites to its decision in *Matter of Burbano*, 20 I. & N. Dec. 872 (BIA 1994), the BIA adopts the IJ's decision in its entirety and we review the IJ's decision as the BIA's. *Abebe v. Gonzalez*, 432 F.3d 1037, 1040-41 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc).

The record is not such as permits us to conclude that we are compelled to reverse the IJ's adverse credibility finding. Given the totality of circumstances, including Chen's unpersuasive explanation that he simply forgot to mention being beaten in his asylum application, his inability to describe in any detail what he saw at the registered church that he purportedly attended, and his unexplained failure to corroborate his account with testimony from the local pastor or his uncle in Hawaii, we conclude that there was sufficient evidence in the record to sustain the adverse credibility finding.

Chen's due process argument fails for lack of a showing of prejudice. *Colmenar v. I.N.S.*, 210 F.3d 967, 971 (9th Cir. 2000). Because the IJ's adverse credibility ruling was supported by substantial evidence in the record, we do not reach Chen's challenge to the agency's alternative ruling that Chen failed to establish past persecution or a well-founded fear of persecution.

For these reasons, we deny the petition for review.

PETITION DENIED.