FILED

JAN 20 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BENITA LONGINO JUAN; LETICIA BAUTISTA LONGINO, Petitioners, v. MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 06-75026 Agency Nos. A096-052-897 A096-052-898 MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 13, 2009**

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, BYBEE, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Benita Longino Juan and Leticia Bautista Longino, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")

---

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

RB/Research

order denying their motion to reopen and reconsider. We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

In their opening brief, Petitioners fail to address, and therefore have waived any challenge to, the BIA's September 25, 2006 decision denying their motion to reopen and reconsider. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 1996) (issues not specifically raised and argued in a party's opening brief are waived).

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's order dismissing Petitioners' direct appeal because this petition for review is not timely as to that order. *See Singh v. INS*, 315 F.3d 1186, 1188 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.