

JAN 20 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FERNANDO TRUJILLO PEREZ;
NORMA RINCON PERALTA; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-71494

Agency Nos. A079-258-929
A079-258-930
A079-258-931

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 13, 2009**

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, BYBEE, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Fernando Trujillo Perez, and his wife and daughter, natives and citizens of
Colombia, petition pro se for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals' order

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his application for asylum and withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1015 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's determination that Trujillo Perez failed to establish that the anonymous threats and robberies his family experienced occurred on account of his imputed or actual political opinion. *See Sangha v. INS*, 103 F.3d 1482, 1487 (9th Cir.1997); *see also Rostomian v. INS*, 210 F.3d 1088, 1089 (9th Cir. 2000) (random violence during civil strife does not demonstrate nexus). Accordingly, his asylum claim fails.

Because Trujillo Perez failed to establish eligibility for asylum, he necessarily failed to meet the more stringent standard for withholding of removal. *See Fisher v. INS*, 79 F.3d 955, 965 (9th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.