

JAN 13 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

TATIANA VLADIMIROVNA  
KOLODZEVA,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 03-74755

Agency No. A079-264-571

MEMORANDUM \*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 17, 2008\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, TROTT, and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

Tatiana Vladimirovna Kolodzeva, native of the former Soviet Union and

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument, therefore Kolodzeva's request for oral argument is denied. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

citizen of Russia, petitions for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her application for asylum and withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 n.1 (1992), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's conclusion that, taking Kolodzeva's testimony as true, she failed meet her burden of establishing past persecution or a well-founded fear of future persecution on account of a protected ground. *See Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1016-18 (9th Cir. 2003). Accordingly, Kolodzeva's asylum claim fails.

Because Kolodzeva did not establish asylum eligibility, it necessarily follows that she did not satisfy the more stringent standard for withholding of removal. *See Zehatye v. Gonzales*, 453 F.3d 1182, 1190 (9th Cir. 2006).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**