

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

DEC 05 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

TIAN HAO LEI,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73273

Agency No. A75-302-094

MEMORANDUM\*

TIAN HAO LEI,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-74777

Agency No. A75-302-094

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

Argued and Submitted November 7, 2007  
San Francisco, California

Before: KLEINFELD, SILVERMAN, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Tian Hao Lei, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' decisions to deny his motions to reopen and for reconsideration. We deny the petition for review.

This court recently held that a change in personal circumstances does not meet the changed country conditions exception to the 90-day time limit for a motion to reopen. *He v. Gonzales*, 501 F.3d 1128, 1132 (9th Cir. 2007); 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii). The petitioner's change in religion and associated consequences he may face in China arise from a change in his personal circumstances, not a change in China or in Chinese policy toward members of any religious group. The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion to reopen.

Petitioner's motion for reconsideration did not identify errors of fact or law, and the BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion to reconsider.

**PETITION DENIED.**