

FILED

NOV 26 2007

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JOSE OCTAVIO NAVIDAD-
CAMACHO,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 06-10738

D.C. No. CR-06-00588-FRZ

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
Frank R. Zapata, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 13, 2007**

Before: TROTT, W. FLETCHER, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Jose Octavio Navidad-Camacho appeals from the 57-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for illegal re-entry after deportation

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and enhanced by 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2). We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Navidad-Camacho contends that the district court erred by failing to individualize his sentence according to the statutory factors and by focusing to an inordinate degree on the advisory Sentencing Guidelines. This contention is not supported by the record.

Navidad-Camacho also contends that his sentence is unreasonable because it is greater than necessary under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). We conclude that Navidad-Camacho's sentence is not unreasonable. *See United States v. Plouffe*, 445 F.3d 1126, 1131 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 126 S. Ct. 2314 (2006).

AFFIRMED.