

NOV 21 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AHMAD AL JAAFREH,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-74635

Agency No. A78-051-642

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 13, 2007**

Before: TROTT, W. FLETCHER, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Ahmad Al Jaafreh, a Palestinian citizen of Jordan, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

judge's decision denying his application for asylum. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the denial of asylum. *See Ladha v. INS*, 215 F.3d 889, 896 (9th Cir. 2000). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's conclusion that Al Jaafreh failed to establish a well-founded fear of future persecution, and thereby failed to show he was eligible for asylum. Al Jaafreh conceded he did not suffer past persecution and he did not "adduc[e] credible, direct, and specific evidence . . . of facts that would support a reasonable fear of persecution." *Id.* at 897. Al Jaafreh's documentary evidence relating to general country conditions and testimony fail to establish "some basis in reality or reasonable possibility" that Al Jaafreh would be persecuted. *Garcia-Ramos v. INS*, 775 F.2d 1370, 1374 (9th Cir. 1985).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.