

**FILED**

**NOV 20 2007**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DENNIS SAMUEL BRONCO,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-30107

D.C. No. CR-06-00199-EJL

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Idaho  
Edward J. Lodge, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 22, 2007\*\*

Before: B. FLETCHER, WARDLAW, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Dennis Samuel Bronco appeals from the district court's judgment revoking his supervised release and sentencing him to 12 months in prison followed by two years' supervised release. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2). Accordingly, we deny Bronco's request for oral argument.

review for reasonableness, *United States v. Miqbel*, 444 F.3d 1173, 1176 n.5 (9th Cir. 2006), and we affirm.

Bronco contends that the sentence is unreasonable. After reviewing the record, we conclude that the sentencing judge relied on permissible factors when he explained the reasons for the sentence he gave. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e); *see also United States v. Simtob*, 485 F.3d 1058, 1062-63 (9th Cir. 2007). Thus the sentence is not unreasonable. *Cf. Simtob*, 485 F.3d at 1063-64; *Miqbel*, 444 F.3d at 1183.

**AFFIRMED.**