

NOV 19 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RAKIB AHAMED,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-72445

Agency No. A71-601-027

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 13, 2007\*\*

Before: McKEOWN, TALLMAN and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Rakib Ahamed petitions for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his second motion to reopen. We review the denial of a motion to reopen for abuse of discretion. *See Rodriguez-Lariz v. INS*, 282 F.3d 1218, 1222 (9th Cir. 2002). The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2)*.

07-72445

petitioner's motion to reopen because the motion to reopen was untimely and number-barred, and did not meet any of the regulatory exceptions. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), (3); *Rodriguez-Lariz v. INS*, 282 F.3d 1218, 1222 (9th Cir. 2002).

Accordingly, respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**