

FILED

OCT 2 2007

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

YOLANDA RAMIREZ-VALDOVINO,

Petitioner,

v.

PETER D. KEISLER,** Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-75830

Agency No. A79-386-898

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 24, 2007***

Before: CANBY, TASHIMA, and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Yolanda Ramirez-Valdovino, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order adopting and affirming an

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** Peter D. Keisler is substituted for his predecessor, Alberto R. Gonzales, as Acting Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

*** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

immigration judge's decision denying her request for voluntary departure on the ground that she testified falsely to obtain an immigration benefit. We dismiss the petition for review for lack of jurisdiction because it does not raise a question of law. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229c(f) (no court shall have jurisdiction over an appeal from the denial of voluntary departure); *Ramadan v. Gonzales*, 479 F.3d 646, 654 (9th Cir. 2007) (notwithstanding any other statutory jurisdictional bar, the court retains jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(D) to review questions of law, including the application of law to undisputed facts).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.