

JUL 31 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>RAUL LOPEZ-ESPINOZA,</p> <p>Defendant - Appellant.</p>

No. 05-30523

D.C. No. CR-05-00066-BLW

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Idaho
Alan A. McDonald, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 22, 2008**

Before: B. FLETCHER, THOMAS, and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Raul Lopez-Espinoza appeals from the 70-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for illegal reentry, in violation of 8 U.S.C.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2). Accordingly, appellant's request for oral argument is denied.

§ 1326(a). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we vacate and remand.

Lopez-Espinoza contends that the district court failed to specify the reasons for imposing a partially consecutive sentence, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(a), (c), and 3584(b). We agree and conclude that the judge's statements were insufficient to permit meaningful appellate review. *Cf. United States v. Fifield*, 432 F.3d 1056, 1063-67 (9th Cir. 2005).

VACATED and REMANDED.