

JUN 25 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

JORGE ROLANDO VEGA-CHICHE, aka  
George Roland Vega,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-50252

D.C. No. CR-04-00045-RT

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Robert J. Timlin, Senior Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 10, 2006\*\*

Submission Withdrawn and Deferred April 10, 2006

Resubmitted May 8, 2006

Submission Withdrawn and Deferred September 7, 2006

Resubmitted June 23, 2008

Pasadena, California

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Before: WARDLAW and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges, and CEBULL<sup>\*\*\*</sup>,  
District Judge.

Appellant Jorge Rolando Vega-Chiche (Vega-Chiche) challenges his sentence, asserting that the district court gave more weight to the Sentencing Guidelines than to the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and that the district court was required to make factual findings regarding each of the § 3553(a) factors.

To comply with *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), the district court need only have sufficiently “considered the Guidelines as well as the other factors listed in § 3553(a).” *United States v. Knows His Gun*, 438 F.3d 913, 918 (9th Cir. 2006). “This requirement does not necessitate a specific articulation of each factor separately, but rather a showing that the district court considered the statutorily-designated factors in imposing a sentence.” *Id.* (citations omitted).

Because the record does not reflect that the district court gave more weight to the Guidelines than to the other § 3553(a) factors, Vega-Chiche’s assertion to the contrary is unfounded. Additionally, the record reflects that the district court considered factors listed in § 3553(a). Consequently, the sentence imposed was

---

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The Honorable Richard F. Cebull, United States District Judge for the District of Montana, sitting by designation.

reasonable. *See Rita v. United States*, 127 S. Ct. 2456, 2465 (2007); *see also United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc).

**AFFIRMED.**