

MAY 01 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LUZ MARIA CABRERA; JOSE JULIO  
CABRERA SANABRIA,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

Nos. 05-75929  
05-75945

Agency Nos. A72-403-965  
A95-394-401

MEMORANDUM \*

On Petitions for Review of an Orders of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 22, 2008\*\*

Before: GRABER, FISHER, and BERZON, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated petitions, Luz Maria Cabrera and her husband Jose  
Julio Cabrera Sanabria, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252.

Reviewing for abuse of discretion, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' motion to reopen, because the BIA considered petitioners' evidence regarding their daughter's health and acted within its broad discretion in determining that petitioners failed to demonstrate that the evidence was unavailable at the time of their removal hearing. *See* 8 C.F.R. §§ 1003.2(a), (c)(1); *see also Bhasin v. Gonzales*, 423 F.3d 977, 984 (9th Cir. 2005); *Franco-Rosendo v. Gonzales*, 454 F.3d 965, 966-67 (9th Cir. 2006).

**PETITIONS FOR REVIEW DENIED.**