

MAR 27 2008

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DAVID LEDEZMA MORALES,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-70911

Agency No. A96-342-961

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 18, 2008\*\*

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

David Ledezma Morales, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals decision summarily affirming the immigration judge's denial of petitioner's application for cancellation of removal.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We lack jurisdiction to consider petitioner's challenge to the IJ's extreme hardship determination because it is a nonreviewable discretionary determination. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 890 (9th Cir. 2003) (citing 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)). Petitioner's conclusory allegation that his deportation proceedings constituted a due process violation does not constitute a colorable constitutional claim. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 930 (9th Cir. 2005).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**