

MAR 27 2008

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

KRISTIN R. HANSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

FRANCISCO F. FIRMAT, Hon.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 06-56118

D.C. No. CV-05-01153-GPS

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
George P. Schiavelli, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 18, 2008**

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Kristin R. Hanson appeals pro se from the district court's order
dismissing for lack of subject matter jurisdiction her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument and denies Hanson's request. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

06-56118

alleging due process violations in connection with child custody proceedings and the constitutionality of California's child custody and vexatious litigant statutes.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a district court's dismissal based on the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine. *Noel v. Hall*, 341 F.3d 1148, 1154 (9th Cir. 2003). We affirm.

The district court properly concluded that the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine bars Hanson's action because it is a "forbidden de facto appeal" from judicial decisions of a state court, and raises constitutional claims that are "inextricably intertwined" with those prior state court judgments. *Id.* at 1158; *see also Doe & Assocs. Law Offices v. Napolitano*, 252 F.3d 1026, 1030 (9th Cir. 2001) (the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine bars review of interlocutory state court decisions).

The district court did not abuse its discretion by granting defendants' requests for judicial notice because courts may take judicial notice of documents in the public record. *See Lee v. City of Los Angeles*, 250 F.3d 668, 689 (9th Cir. 2001) (stating that a district court's decision to take judicial notice is reviewed for an abuse of discretion).

Hanson's remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

Hanson's motion to file a corrected reply brief is granted. The Clerk is

06-56118

directed to file the corrected reply brief received on January 10, 2007.

AFFIRMED.