

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 26 2008

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ZENON FLORES MARTINEZ;
DOLORES FLORES,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-72808

Agency Nos. A76-359-530
A76-359-531

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 18, 2008**

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Zenon Flores Martinez and Dolores Flores, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reconsider its previous order affirming an immigration

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

04-72808

judge's ("IJ") order denying their application for cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider, *Oh v. Gonzales*, 406 F.3d 611, 612 (9th Cir. 2005), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA acted within its discretion in denying the petitioners' motion to reconsider because the motion failed to identify any error of fact or law in the BIA's prior order affirming the IJ's decision. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1); *Socop-Gonzalez v. INS*, 272 F.3d 1176, 1180 n.2 (9th Cir. 2001) (en banc).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.