

JAN 10 2008

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

RICARDO CRISANTO CAMPOS,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-72964

Agency No. A76-355-888

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 7, 2008 **

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, SILVERMAN and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). This court previously determined the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2)*.

07-72964

merits of petitioner's case in *Campos v. Gonzales*, No. 05-70801, 2007 WL 135901, at *1 (9th Cir., Jan. 16, 2007). This court granted the petition for review in part and remanded to the Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA") for further proceedings concerning petitioner's voluntary departure status only. *Id.* Upon remand, the BIA granted petitioner voluntary departure consistent with this court's decision. *Id.* Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.