

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 09 2008

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SALVADOR GUZMAN ENCINO; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73053

Agency Nos. A95-304-171
A95-304-172

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 3, 2007**

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Salvador Guzman Encino and Clara Rodriguez De La Riva, natives and
citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

decision affirming the immigration judge's (IJ) denial of their application for cancellation of removal.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's discretionary determination that petitioners failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to a qualifying relative. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003).

Petitioners' constitutional challenges of the Nicaraguan Adjustment and Central American Relief Act (NACARA) are unavailing. *See Hernandez-Mezquita v. Ashcroft*, 293 F.3d 1161, 1163-65 (9th Cir.2002) (holding that NACARA's limitation on eligibility for relief does not violate equal protection or due process).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED in part, DENIED in part.