

MAR 26 2008

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARIA ACELA LOPEZ MORALES;  
CLAUDIA IVETTE MEJIA LOPEZ,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-72182

Agency Nos. A95-876-533  
A95-876-535

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 18, 2008\*\*

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Maria Acela Lopez Morales and her daughter Claudia Ivette Mejia Lopez,  
natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge’s decision denying Lopez Morales’ application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA’s discretionary denial of cancellation of removal. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i) (the court lacks jurisdiction to review any judgment regarding the discretionary denial of relief under 8 U.S.C. § 1229b); *see also Hosseini v. Gonzales*, 471 F.3d 953, 956-57 (9th Cir. 2006) (explaining that “[t]he REAL ID Act does not restore our jurisdiction [where the petitioner] does not argue that the BIA’s discretionary denial was unconstitutional or unlawful”).

We need not reach Lopez Morales’ remaining contentions in light of our disposition.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**