

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

AUG 18 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

DEBRA CARRIGAN,  
  
Plaintiff - Appellant,  
  
v.  
  
ALVERSON TAYLOR MORTENSEN &  
SANDERS; et al.,  
  
Defendants - Appellees.

No. 08-15754

D.C. No. 2:07-CV-00562-PMP-  
GWF

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Nevada  
Philip M. Pro, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 11, 2008\*\*

Before: CANBY, LEAVY and KLEINFELD, Circuit Judges.

Appellant Debra Carrigan appeals the district court's judgment dismissing her case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. This court reviews the district

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

court's order de novo, and we affirm. *See Love v. United States*, 915 F.2d 1242 (9th Cir. 1988); *Gibson v. United States*, 781 F.2d 1334, 1337 (9th Cir. 1986).

Our review of the record and of appellant's response to this court's order to show cause indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

Accordingly, we summarily affirm the district court's judgment.

**AFFIRMED.**