

MAR 11 2008

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

PAUL CHARLES STEEVES,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-10314

D.C. No. CR-06-00114-MJJ

MEMORANDUM*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

PAUL CHARLES STEEVES,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-10315

D.C. No. CR-06-00549-MJJ

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
Martin J. Jenkins, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 26, 2008**

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Before: BEEZER, FERNANDEZ, and McKEOWN, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated appeals, Paul Charles Steeves appeals from the 27-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for mailing threatening communications, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 876(c), and false information and hoaxes, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1038(a)(1)(A). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Steeves contends that the district court imposed an unreasonable sentence because it failed to properly weigh the factors contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), especially the need to protect the public. We conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion, and that the 27-month sentence is reasonable. *See Gall v. United States*, 128 S. Ct. 586, 596-98 (2007).

AFFIRMED.