

FILED

NOV 20 2007

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

WILLIAM MICHAEL NEWMAN,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-30014

D.C. No. CR-06-00043-SEH

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Montana
Sam E. Haddon, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 22, 2007**

Before: B. FLETCHER, WARDLAW, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

William Michael Newman appeals from the 115-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for receipt and possession of child pornography, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2252A(a)(2) and 2252A(a)(5)(B). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Newman contends that his 115-month sentence is unreasonable and that the district court failed to provide a reasoned explanation of his sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c). We conclude that Newman's sentence is not unreasonable, *see United States v. Plouffe*, 445 F.3d 1126, 1131-32 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 126 S. Ct. 2314 (2006), and that the district court judge provided sufficient reasons for the sentence imposed. *See Rita v. United States*, 127 S. Ct. 2456, 2468 (2007).

AFFRIMED.