

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 08 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JUAN ANTONIO BELTRAN; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

Nos. 05-73886
05-75125

Agency Nos. A73-895-191
A73-895-192
A73-895-193
A73-895-194

MEMORANDUM*

On Petitions for Review of Orders of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 26, 2008**

Before: SCHROEDER, KLEINFELD, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated petitions for review, Juan Antonio Beltran and his family, natives and citizens of Bolivia, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen removal

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

proceedings (No. 05-73886) and its order denying their motion to reconsider (No. 05-75125). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing for abuse of discretion, *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002), we deny the petitions for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying the Beltrans' motion to reopen because the Beltrans failed to provide evidence of changed circumstances in Bolivia. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii).

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying the Beltrans' motion to reconsider where they failed to identify an error of fact or law in the BIA's prior order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1); *see also Socop-Gonzalez v. INS*, 272 F.3d 1176, 1180 n.2 (9th Cir. 2001) (en banc).

The Beltrans' "Motion to Request Leave to File Late Reply Brief" is granted. The Clerk shall file the brief received on November 9, 2007.

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW DENIED.