

**FILED**

**NOV 26 2007**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JOSE ANGEL PEREZ-FIERRO,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-50114

D.C. No. CR-03-01098-GT

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of California  
Gordon Thompson, Senior Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 13, 2007\*\*

Before: TROTT, W. FLETCHER and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Jose Angel Perez-Fierro appeals from the revocation of supervised release.

We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Perez-Fierro contends that the revocation proceedings violated his right to due process because he did not receive written notice of the conditions of supervised release. We disagree. The district court gave Perez-Fierro actual notice orally at his original sentencing hearing that he was forbidden from reentering or attempting to reenter the United States illegally. We conclude that the district court's alleged failure to give written notice did not violate due process. *See United States v. Ortega-Brito*, 311 F.3d 1136, 1138 (9th Cir. 2002).

**AFFIRMED.**