

DEC 28 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ALEJANDRO MANCILLA BAEZ;
MARIA DEL ROSARIO BARAJAS
CALZADA,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY,** Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-74457

Agency Nos. A75-752-017
A78-112-168

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 20, 2007***

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** Michael B. Mukasey is substituted for his predecessor, Alberto R. Gonzales, as Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

*** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Alejandro Mancilla Baez and his wife Maria Del Rosario Barajas Calzada, seek review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals summarily affirming an immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying their applications for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's discretionary determination that petitioners failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to a qualifying relative. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.