

JAN 18 2008

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IDINAR POLTRONIERI; PARLEN
COSTA; RENAN COSTA,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-71800

Agency Nos. A70-941-828
A72-525-204
A72-525-205

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 14, 2008**

Before: HALL, O'SCANNLAIN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Idinar Poltronieri, and her children, Parlen and Renan Costa, natives and
citizens of Brazil, petition for review of an order of the Board of Immigration

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Appeals dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying their applications for asylum, withholding of removal and protection under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT").

We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing due process claims de novo, *Vasquez-Zavala v. Ashcroft*, 324 F.3d 1105, 1107 (9th Cir. 2003), and factual findings for substantial evidence, *INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 (1992), we deny the petition for review.

Poltronieri contends that she was denied due process because, among other things, the IJ was biased against her and should have sua sponte recused himself, and the IJ failed to consider all the evidence presented. These contentions, however, are belied by the record. *See Vargas-Hernandez v. Gonzales*, 497 F.3d 919, 925 (9th Cir. 2007).

The parties' remaining contentions lack merit.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.