

FILED

OCT 2 2007

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

LISA VAN PATTEN,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-50241

D.C. No. CR-05-01406-MJL-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of California
M. James Lorenz, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 24, 2007**

Before: CANBY, TASHIMA and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Lisa Van Patten appeals from the sentence imposed following revocation of supervised release. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review for plain error, *United States v. Ortiz*, 362 F.3d 1274, 1278 (9th Cir. 2004), and we affirm.

Appellant contends that 18 U.S.C. § 3624(e) prohibits the district court from imposing a consecutive sentence of imprisonment where a defendant violates concurrent terms of supervised release. This contention is foreclosed by *United States v. Jackson*, 176 F.3d 1175, 1177-78 (9th Cir. 1999) (per curiam).

AFFIRMED.