

**FILED**

**OCT 2 2007**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

ERICA FUENTES-ROJAS,

Petitioner,

v.

PETER D. KEISLER,\*\* Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-71159

Agency No. A72-680-990

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 24, 2007\*\*\*

Before: CANBY, TASHIMA, and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Erica Fuentes-Rojas, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order adopting and affirming an

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* Peter D. Keisler is substituted for his predecessor, Alberto R. Gonzales, as Acting Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

\*\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her application for asylum and withholding of removal. To the extent we have jurisdiction, it is conferred by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing for substantial evidence, *Singh v. INS*, 134 F.3d 962, 966 (9th Cir. 1998), we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's determination that Fuentes-Rojas failed to establish a well-founded fear of persecution. *See id.* at 967 (recognizing that mere general lawlessness and violence without an appreciably different risk to the petitioner is not enough to support a claim of asylum).

Because Fuentes-Rojas failed to satisfy the lower standard of proof for asylum, she necessarily failed to demonstrate eligibility for withholding of removal. *See Farah v. Ashcroft*, 348 F.3d 1153, 1156 (9th Cir. 2003).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**