

JUL 07 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HADI SYED ZAIDI; GHAZI SYED  
ZAIDI,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-76489

Agency Nos. A95-618-182  
A95-618-183

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 18, 2008\*\*

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Hadi Syed Zaidi and Ghazi Syed Zaidi, natives and citizens of Pakistan,  
petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing their

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying their applications for cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo claims of constitutional violations in immigration proceedings, *Ram v. INS*, 243 F.3d 510, 516 (9th Cir. 2001), and we deny the petition for review.

We are not persuaded by petitioners' contention that the IJ's denial of their cancellation applications prior to the adjudication of their father's cancellation application resulted in the deprivation of their rights. *See Munoz v. Ashcroft*, 339 F.3d 950, 954 (9th Cir. 2003) ("Since discretionary relief is a privilege created by Congress, denial of such relief cannot violate a substantive interest protected by the Due Process clause.").

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**