FILED

OCT 01 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SOLINDER SINGH, aka Sarbjit Singh, Petitioner, v. PETER D. KEISLER,** Acting Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 06-72537 Agency No. A77-957-994 MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 24, 2007 ***

Before: CANBY, TASHIMA and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

---

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** Peter D. Keisler is substituted for his predecessor, Alberto R. Gonzales, as Acting Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

*** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Solinder Singh, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order affirming the Immigration Judge's ("IJ") denial of his application for asylum, withholding of removal and relief under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's and BIA's decision because Singh failed to establish past persecution or that he has a well-founded fear of future persecution on account of an enumerated ground. *See Sangha v. INS*, 103 F.3d 1482, 1488-91 (9th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, Singh is not eligible for asylum.

Because Singh failed to demonstrate eligibility for asylum, it follows that he did not satisfy the more stringent standard for withholding of removal. *See Dinu v. Ashcroft*, 372 F.3d 1041, 1045 (9th Cir. 2004).

Finally, Singh has not demonstrated that it is more likely than not that he will be tortured if returned to India. *See Kumar v. Gonzales*, 444 F.3d 1043, 1056 (9th Cir. 2006).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.