

APR 22 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

|   |
|---|
| <p>LEONOR LOPEZ,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney<br/>General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p> |
|---|

No. 07-73829

Agency No. A99-295-697

MEMORANDUM \*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 15, 2008 \*\*

Before: B. FLETCHER, FISHER and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review from the Board of Immigration Appeals’  
 (“BIA”) order dismissing petitioner’s appeal.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). The BIA did not err in concluding that petitioner is not eligible to adjust her status. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1255(i); *Acosta v. Gonzales*, 439 F.3d 550, 555-56 (9th Cir. 2006). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

Additionally, to the extent that petitioner seeks review of the length of the voluntary departure period she received, this court lacks jurisdiction to review the voluntary departure determination. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229c(f); *Galeana-Mendoza v. Gonzales*, 465 F.3d 1054, 1056 n.5 (9th Cir. 2006).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**